

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/087,809	03/05/2002	Cordell R. Ratzlaff	8360.1587-00	8360.1587-00 2977		
826 ALSTON & B	7590 03/23/2007 IRD LLP	EXAMINER				
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			CHOI, PETER H			
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHARLOTTE	1		3623			
			-			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
·			03/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/087,809	RATZLAFF ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Peter Choi	3623

	Peter Choi	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(TTC)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	,		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Markell	(aux)
		C. MICHELLE T	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive.

Applicant argues that the preamble of claim 1 specifically recites a method for designating dates in an interactive travel calendar, which is not taught by Forbes. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As pointed out by the Applicant, the specification discloses that dates are used to describe events such as trips, programs, and meetings. The Examiner asserts that such events are not inherently measured by days, and that, at its broadest reasonable interpretation, date may be defined by time or day. Furthermore, Forbes teaches an implied date (see Figures 1-6) for events. Also implied by Figures 1-6, is that events occur on specific days (for example, the TALBE event occurs from 8 AM on Friday, September 11 until 430 PM on Friday, September 11).

Applicant also argues that Forbes does not disclose the step of determining the chronological relationship between the first and second date. The Examiner maintains the assertion that when the user inputs the start time of an event, and either a duration or an end time to the event. The chronological relationship between the start and end time of a single event is evident in that one time chronologically occurs first (i.e., the start time) before the second time (i.e., the end time); thus, when the user inputs the start and end time of an event, the chronological relationship has already been determined, resulting in one time being identified as the start time and the other time being identified as the end time.

Applicant argues that Forbes does not teach or suggest modifying the start time or end time based on when the set start time was received in relation to the set end time. Forbes allows users to manipulate a timecell to reflect a schedule change. Forbes allows users to change the start and end times of scheduled events. Placements of the cursor in the left portion of the timebar indicates an intent to change the start time, placement in the right portion of the timebar indicates an intent to change the end time. A plus-minus cursor is used to indicate the timebar can have its start time changed, or a minus-plus cursor to indicate the timebar can have its end time changed [Column 8, lines 43-48 and 51-53]. For example, if an event had been schedule to start at 9 AM and end at 11 AM, the user could modify the event to start at 10 AM, or end at 10 AM. If the left portion of the timebar is manipulated, then a new start time has been received and set. Similarly, if the right portion of a timebar is manipulated, then a new end time has been received and set. Thus, the Examiner asserts that Forbes allows users to select a time that falls between the start and end times and set a new start or end time (by manipulating the timebar to change the start or end time) depending on when the set start and end times were received.

Applicant argues that Forbes doe not disclose that the user may interact with the calendar or otherwise select dates on the calendar. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Forbes teaches an interactive, visually supportive means of interacting with graphical time represented tasks using a wide variety of display, input and pointing capabilities [Column 3, lines 6-9].

The Applicant argues that claim 12 recites statutory subject matter and includes functional descriptive material. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner maintains the assertion that a graphical user interface is a graphical representation of data and is not a statutory class of invention. While a graphical user interface is not statutory, a data processing system and/or computer-readable storage medium having computer-readable instructions stored therein to generate a graphical user interface would be statutory.

C. MICHELLE TARAE PRIMARY EXAMINER